the promulgation of DPCO, 87. Further, there has been upward revision in other variables like CC, PC etc.

(d) No, Sir.

(f) Does not arise.

**Price decontrolled products**

4594. SHRI H. HANUMANTHAPPA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) what are the increase percentages in prices of price decontrolled products like Daonil, Calmpose Tablets, Benadryl, Dilosyn, Suganuil Coscopin, Linctus, Pethidine Injection, Esgipyrin at present as compared to price before August, 1987;

(b) what is the price per pack at present and what was it before the DPCO 1987;

(c) what is the name of producer of each product;

(d) what are the names of producers who submitted break up before increase in cost and MAPE taken in each case;

(e) what is the name of bulk drug on which each product is based; and

(f) what is the basis of further liberalisation on the same?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) to (e) To the extent available, information has been given in the Annexure. [*See* Appendix CLXIV, Annexure No. 83]

(f) The review of the Drug Policy 1986 is still to be finalized.

**Resignation by Director of IDPL**

4595. SHRIMATI KAMLA SINHA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to unstarred Question 3072 given in the Rajya Sabha on 19th December, 1991 and state:

(a) whether Director Marketing of IDPL was involved in serious allegations prior to his resignation ostensibly for personal reasons in June, 1989;

(b) whether the allegation pertained to the supply of Oxytetracycline bulk material;

(c) whether the allegations were got investigated including those against the Executive Director (Vig.) IDPL; and

(d) whether his exist was attributable to these serious allegations, which stood reasonably proved, and he contrived to tender and get accepted his resignation though he had still $3\frac{1}{2}$ years to complete his tenure?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) The former Director (Marketing), IDPL had resigned on personal grounds in June, 1989. There were no special circumstances attached to the resignation of the then director (Marketing).

(b) to (d) In view of the reply to (a) above, these questions do not arise.

**Unauthorised absence of 14 employees of IDPL**

4596. SHRI MD. SALIM: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 1121 given in the Rajya Sabha on 7th May, 1992 and state:

(a) out of the fourteen employees, who were listed as having been on unauthorised absence on 30th March, 1990 how many were not charge sheeted under rule 30-A together with reasons therefor;

(b) the number of cases in which they were reinstated without charge sheeting them and the period for which emoluments were paid to them despite their unauthorised absence together with reasons therefor; and